

References

- Akyol, Z., & Garrison, D. R. (2011). Understanding cognitive presence in an online and blended community of inquiry: Assessing outcomes and processes for deep approaches to learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42(2), 233–250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.01029.x>
- Alwafi, E. M. (2022). Designing an online discussion strategy with learning analytics feedback on the level of cognitive presence and student interaction in an online learning community. *Online Learning Journal*, 26(1), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i1.3065>
- Anderson, T., Rourke, L., Garrison, D. R., & Archer, W. (2001). Assessing teaching presence in a computer conferencing context. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 5(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v5i2.1875>
- Annand, D. (2011). Social presence within the community of inquiry framework. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 12(5), 40–56. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v12i5.924>
- Annand, D. (2019). Limitations of the community of inquiry framework. *International Journal of E-Learning and Distance Education*, 34(2). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1242715.pdf>
- Arbaugh, J. B., Cleveland-Innes, M., Diaz, S. R., Garrison, D. R., Ice, P., Richardson, J. C., & Swan, K. P. (2008). Developing a community of inquiry instrument: Testing a measure of the Community of Inquiry framework using a multi-institutional sample. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 11(3–4), 133–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2008.06.003>
- Ashley, H., Fugelo, N., Pappalardo, G., & Stout, A. (2014). Apertures and opportunities: Hanging peer review on our rubric. In S. J. Corbett, M. LaFrance, & T. Decker (Eds.), *Peer pressure, peer power: Theory and practice in peer review and response for the writing classroom* (pp. 195–208). Fountainhead Press.
- Baker, K. (2016). Peer review as a strategy for improving students' writing process. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 17(3), 179–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787416654794>
- Bartolotta, J., Yarbrough, A., & Bourelle, T. (2023). Professional development for online writing instruction: The place of instructional design. In J. Borgman & C. McArdle (Eds.), *PARS in charge: Resources and strategies for online writing program leaders* (pp. 172–184). The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/pr-a-b.2023.1985.2.12>
- Brent, D. (2011). Transfer, transformation, and rhetorical knowledge: Insights from transfer theory. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 25(4), 396–420. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651911410951>
- Breuch, L.-A. K. (2005). Enhancing online collaboration: Virtual peer review in the writing classroom. In K. C. Cook & K. Grant-Davie (Eds.), *Online education: Global questions, local answers* (pp. 141–156). Baywood Publishing.
- Bruffee, K. A. (1984). Collaborative learning and the “conversation of mankind.” *College English*, 46(7), 635–652. <https://doi.org/10.2307/376924>

- Bruffee, K. A. (1999). *Collaborative learning: Higher education, interdependence, and the authority of knowledge* (2nd ed.). Johns Hopkins University Press. <https://doi.org/10.56021/9780801859731>
- Brunk-Chavez, B., & Miller, S. J. (2007). Decentered, disconnected, and digitized: The importance of shared space. *Kairos: A Journal of Rhetoric, Technology, and Pedagogy*, 11(2). <https://kairos.technorhetoric.net/11.2/binder.html?topoi/brunk-miller/index.html>
- Borgman, J., & McArdle, C. (2019). *Personal, accessible, responsive, strategic: Resources and strategies for online writing instructors*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/pr-a-b.2019.0322>
- Borgman, J., & McArdle, C. (Eds.). (2023). *PARS in charge: Resources and strategies for online writing program leaders*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PRA-B.2023.1985>
- Brennan, C., Packard, M., & Newman, J. (2022). Building a critically transformative community of inquiry in an online undergraduate program. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 52, Article 100830. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2021.100830>
- Carlson, S., Bennett-Woods, D., Berg, B., Claywell, L., LeDuc, K., Marcisz, N., Mulhall, M., Noteboom, T., Snedden, T., Whalen, K., & Zenoni, L. (2012). The community of inquiry instrument: Validation and results in online health care disciplines. *Computers & Education*, 59(2), 215–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.01.004>
- Chang, C. Y.-h. (2016). Two decades of research in L2 peer review. *Journal of Writing Research*, 8(1), 81–117. <https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2016.08.01.03>
- Charney, D. (1996). Empiricism is not a four-letter word. *College Composition and Communication*, 47(4), 567–593. <https://doi.org/10.2307/358602>
- Christen, S., Violanti, M. T., & Morrow, J. (2022). Creating a social presence measure: Self-rated behaviors that indicate mediated presence. *Online Learning Journal*, 26(3), 202–220. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i3.2202>
- Cicchino, A., & Hicks, T. (Eds.). (2024). *Better practices: Exploring the teaching of writing in online and hybrid spaces*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/per-b.2024.2241>
- Corbett, S. J., LaFrance, M., & Decker, T. (Eds.). (2014). *Peer pressure, peer power: Theory and practice in peer review and response for the writing classroom*. Fountainhead Press.
- Cunningham, J. M. (2015). Mechanizing people and pedagogy: Establishing social presence in the online classroom. *Online Learning*, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i3.476>
- Cunningham, J. M., Stillman-Webb, N., Hilliard, L., & Stewart, M. K. (2022). Synchronicity over modality: Understanding hybrid and online writing students' experiences with peer review. *Composition Forum*, 48. <https://compositionforum.com/issue/48/synchronicity.php>
- Das, M., & Faris, M. J. (2024). Peer review in online, real-time learning environments. In A. Cicchino & T. Hicks (Eds.), *Better practices: Exploring the teaching of writing in online and hybrid spaces* (pp. 71–92). WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PER-B.2024.2241.2.03>

- Dean, C. W. (2009). Developing and assessing an online research writing course. *Across the Disciplines*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.37514/ATD-J.2009.6.2.13>
- Dewey, J. (1910). *How we think*. Revised edition, D.C. Heath. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10903-000>
- Downs, D., & Wardle, E. (2007). Teaching about writing, righting misconceptions: (Re)envisioning “first-year composition” as “introduction to writing studies.” *College Composition and Communication*, 58(4), 552–584. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20456966>
- Duin, A. H., & Tham, J. (2020). The current state of analytics: Implications for learning management system (LMS) use in writing pedagogy. *Computers and Composition*, 55, Article 102544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2020.102544>
- Elbow, P. (1968). A method for teaching writing. *College English*, 30(2), 115. <https://doi.org/10.2307/374447>
- Elbow, P. (1998). *Writing without teachers*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/os0/9780195120165.001.0001>
- Fahle, K. (2019). *Collaboration and community in undergraduate writing synchronous video courses (SVCs)* [Doctoral dissertation, Old Dominion University]. ODU Digital Commons. <https://doi.org/10.25777/ctje-8v79>
- Faigley, L., & Witte, S. (1981). Analyzing revision. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(4), 400–414. <https://doi.org/10.2307/356602>
- Galikyan, I., & Admiraal, W. (2019). Students’ engagement in asynchronous online discussion: The relationship between cognitive presence, learner prominence, and academic performance. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 43, Article 100692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2019.100692>
- Garrison, D. R. (2009). Communities of inquiry in online learning. In P. L. Rogers, G. A. Berg, J. V. Boettcher, C. Howard, L. Justice, & K. D. Schenk (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of distance learning* (2nd ed., pp. 352–355). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60566-198-8.ch052>
- Garrison, D. R. (2011). *E-Learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice* (2nd Ed.). Routledge/Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203166093>
- Garrison, D. R. (2017). *E-learning in the 21st century: A community of inquiry framework for research and practice* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315667263>
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (1999). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2–3), 87–105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516\(00\)00016-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6)
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2001). Critical thinking, cognitive presence, and computer conferencing in distance education. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 15(1), 7–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923640109527071>
- Gere, A. R. (1987). *Writing groups: History, theory, and implications*. Southern Illinois University Press.
- Grigoryan, A. (2017). Audiovisual commentary as a way to reduce transactional distance and increase teaching presence in online writing instruction: Student perceptions and preferences. *Journal of Response to Writing*, 3(1), Article 5. <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/journalrw/vol3/iss1/5>

- Gunawardena, C. N., & Zittle, F. J. (1997). Social presence as a predictor of satisfaction within a computer-mediated conferencing environment. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 11(3), 8–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923649709526970>
- Halasek, K. (2023). Interrogating peer review as “proxy”: Reframing peer response within connective practice. In P. Jackson & C. Weaver (Eds.), *Rethinking peer review: Critical reflections on a pedagogical practice* (pp. 75–97). The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/per-b.2023.1961.2.04>
- Harris, H. S., & Greer, M. (2022). Using multimedia for instructor presence in purposeful pedagogy-driven online technical writing courses. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 52(1), 110–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047281620978360>
- Hewett, B. L. (2000). Characteristics of interactive oral and computer-mediated peer group talk and its influence on revision. *Computers and Composition*, 17(3), 265–288. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S8755-4615\(00\)00035-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S8755-4615(00)00035-9)
- Hilliard, L. P., & Stewart, M. K. (2019). Time well spent: Creating a community of inquiry in blended first-year writing courses, *The Internet and Higher Education*, 41, 11–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2018.11.002>
- Hillocks, G. (1986). *Research on written composition: New directions for teaching*. National Council of Teachers of English. <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED265552.pdf>
- Inoue, A. B. (2014). Theorizing failure in US writing assessments. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 48(3), 330–352. <https://doi.org/10.58680/rte201424581>
- Jackson, P. (2023). Learning from peer review online: Changing the pedagogical emphasis. In P. Jackson & C. Weaver (Eds.), *Rethinking peer review: Critical reflections on a pedagogical practice* (pp. 205–227). The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/per-b.2023.1961.2.10>
- Jackson, P., & Weaver, C. (Eds.). (2023). *Rethinking peer review: Critical reflections on a pedagogical practice*. The WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PER-B.2023.1961>
- Joksimovic, S., Gasevic, D., Kovanovic, V., Adesope, O., & Hatala, M. (2014). Psychological characteristics in cognitive presence of communities of inquiry: A linguistic analysis of online discussions. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 22, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2014.03.001>
- Kim, M.-J. (2016). Implementation of the community of inquiry model for a blended EFL writing course. *English Language & Literature Teaching*, 22(1), 85–114. <http://etak.or.kr/Journal/3517>
- Kreijns, K., Van Acker, F., Vermeulen, M., & Van Buuren, H. (2014). Community of inquiry: Social presence revisited. *E-learning and Digital Media*, 11(1), 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.2304/elea.2014.11.1.5>
- Martin, F., Wu, T., Wan, L., & Xie, K. (2022). A meta-analysis on the community of inquiry presences and learning outcomes in online and blended learning environments. *Online Learning Journal*, 26(1), 325–359. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i1.2604>
- Martinez, A. Y. (2020). *Counterstory: The rhetoric and writing of critical race theory*. (CCCC Studies in Writing & Rhetoric). National Council of Teachers of English.

- Matsuda, P. K. (2006). The myth of linguistic homogeneity in U.S. college composition. *College English*, 68(6), 637–651. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25472180>
- Mazzolini, M., & Maddison, S. (2007). When to jump in: The role of the instructor in online discussion forums. *Computers & Education*, 49(2), 193–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2005.06.011>
- Melzer, D. (2020). Placing peer response at the center of the response construct. *Journal of Response to Writing*, 6(2), Article 2. <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/journalrw/vol6/iss2/2>
- Mick, C. S., & Middlebrook, G. (2015). Asynchronous and synchronous modalities. In B. L. Hewett & K. E. DePew (Eds.), *Foundational practices of online writing instruction* (pp. 135–154). The WAC Clearinghouse; Parlor Press. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PER-B.2015.0650.2.03>
- Mittelmeier, J., & Rienties, B. (2024). The community of inquiry in international and intercultural settings. In M. F. Cleveland-Innes, S. Stenbom, & D. R. Garrison (Eds.), *The design of digital learning environments* (pp. 152–171). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003246206-11>
- Moore, R. L., & Miller, C. N. (2022). Fostering cognitive presence in online courses: A systematic review (2008–2020). *Online Learning Journal*, 26(1), 130–149. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i1.3071>
- Myers, S. A. (2003). Reassessing the “proofreading trap”: ESL tutoring and writing instruction. *The Writing Center Journal*, 24(1), 51–70. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2832-9414.1544>
- Oleksiak, T. (2020). A queer praxis for peer review. *College Composition & Communication*, 72(2), 306–332. <https://doi.org/10.58680/cc202031039>
- Pritchard, R. J., & Morrow, D. (2017). Comparison of online and face-to-face peer review of writing. *Computers and Composition*, 46, 87–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2017.09.006>
- Retzinger, D. H. (2018). *i2i: The poetics of identification*. (Publication No. 10983783) [Doctoral dissertation New Mexico State University]. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses A&I.
- Rolim, V., Ferreira, R., Lins, R. D., & Găsević, D. (2019). A network-based analytic approach to uncovering the relationship between social and cognitive presences in communities of inquiry. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 42, 53–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2019.05.001>
- Roozen, K. (2015). Writing is a social and rhetorical activity. In L. Adler-Kassner & E. Wardle (Eds.), *Naming what we know: Threshold concepts of writing studies* (pp. 17–18). Utah State University Press.
- Russell, T. L. (1999). *The no significant difference phenomenon as reported in 355 research reports, summaries and papers*. North Carolina State University.
- Salem, L. (2016). Decisions...decisions: Who chooses to use the writing center? *The Writing Center Journal*, 35(2), 147–171. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2832-9414.1806>
- Shea, P. (2024). Teaching presence as a guide for productive design in online and blended learning. In M. F. Cleveland-Innes, S. Stenbom, & D. R. Garrison (Eds.), *The design of digital learning environments* (pp. 68–83). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003246206-6>

- Shea, P., & Bidjerano, T. (2009). Community of inquiry as a theoretical framework to foster “epistemic engagement” and “cognitive presence” in online education. *Computers & Education*, 52(3), 543–553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.10.007>
- Short, J. E., Williams, E., & Christie, B. (1976). *The social psychology of telecommunications*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Smagorinsky, P. (2008). The method section as conceptual epicenter in constructing social science research reports. *Written Communication*, 25(3), 389–411. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088308317815>
- Sommers, N. (1980). Revision strategies of student writers and experienced adult writers. *College Composition and Communication*, 31(4), 378–388. <https://doi.org/10.2307/356588>
- Steele, J. P., Robertson, S. N., & Mandernach, B. J. (2017). Fostering first-year students’ perceptions of teacher presence in the online classroom via video lectures. *Journal of The First-Year Experience & Students in Transition*, 29(2), 79–92. <https://www.ingentaconnect.com/contentone/fyesit/fyesit/2017/00000029/00000002/arto0005>
- Stewart, M. K. (2017). Communities of inquiry: A heuristic for designing and assessing interactive learning activities in technology-mediated FYC. *Computers and Composition*, 45, 67–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2017.06.004>
- Stewart, M. K. (2019). The community of inquiry survey: An assessment instrument for online writing courses. *Computers and Composition*, 52, 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2019.01.001>
- Stewart, M. K., Cunningham, J. M., Stillman-Webb, N., & Hilliard, L. (2022). How and what students learn in hybrid and online FYC: A multi-institutional survey study of student perceptions. *College Composition and Communication*, 73(4), 739–773. <https://doi.org/10.58680/coc202232017>
- Stewart, M. K., Hilliard, L., Stillman-Webb, N., & Cunningham, J. M. (2021). The community of inquiry in writing studies survey: Interpreting social presence in disciplinary contexts. *Online Learning*, 25(2), 73–94. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v25i2.2275>
- Stillman-Webb, N., Hilliard, L., Stewart, M. K., & Cunningham, J. M. (2023). Facilitating student discourse: Online and hybrid writing students’ perceptions of teaching presence. *Computers and Composition*, 67, Article 102761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2023.102761>
- Strenski, E., Feagin, C. O., & Singer, J. A. (2005). Email small group peer review revisited. *Computers and Composition*, 22(2), 191–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2005.02.005>
- Swan, K. P., Richardson, J. C., Ice, P., Garrison, D. R., Cleveland-Innes, M., & Arbaugh, J. B. (2008). Validating a measurement tool of presence in online communities of inquiry. *E-Mentor*, (24) 88–94.
- Vaughn, P., & Turner, C. (2016). Decoding via coding: Analyzing qualitative text data through thematic coding and survey methodologies. *Journal of Library Administration*, 56(1), 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2015.1105035>
- Walther, J. B. (1992). Interpersonal effects in computer-mediated interaction: A relational perspective. *Communication Research*, 19(1), 52–90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009365092019001003>

- Wang, W. (2014). Students' perceptions of rubric-referenced peer feedback on EFL writing: A longitudinal inquiry. *Assessing Writing*, 19, 80–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2013.11.008>
- Warnock, S. (2009). *Teaching writing online: How and why*. National Council of Teachers of English.
- Warnock, S. (2013). Studies comparing outcomes among onsite, hybrid, and fully-online writing courses. *WPA-CompPile Research Bibliographies*, 21. <https://wacclearinghouse.org/docs/comppile/wpa/Warnock.pdf>
- Warnock, S., & Gasiewski, D. (2018). *Writing together: Ten weeks teaching and studenting in an online writing course*. National Council of Teachers of English.
- Weaver, C. (2023). A troubled practice: Three models of peer review and the problems underlying them. In P. Jackson & C. Weaver (Eds.), *Rethinking peer review: Critical reflections on a pedagogical practice* (pp. 59–72). WAC Clearinghouse; University Press of Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PER-B.2023.1961.2.04>
- Wertz, R. E. H. (2022). Learning presence within the Community of Inquiry framework: An alternative measurement survey for a four-factor model. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 52, Article 100832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2021.100832>
- West-Puckett, S., Casswell, N. I., & Banks, W. P. (2023). *Failing sideways: Queer possibilities for writing assessment*. Utah State University Press. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/jj.2036766>
- Wirtz, J. (2012). Writing courses live and die by the quality of peer review. In K. M. Hunzer (Ed.), *Collaborative learning and writing: Essays on using small groups in teaching English and composition* (pp. 5–16). McFarland & Company.
- Yancey, K. B. (1998). *Reflection in the writing classroom*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt46nsho>
- Yancey, K. B., Robertson, L., & Taczak, K. (2014). *Writing across contexts: Transfer, composition, and sites of writing*. Utah State University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt6wrr95>
- Young, V. A. (2020). 2020 CCCC Chair's Address: Say they name in Black English: George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Atatiana Jefferson, Aura Rosser, Trayvon Martin, and the need to move away from writing to literacies in CCCC and rhetoric and composition. *College Composition & Communication*, 72(4), 623–639. <https://doi.org/10.58680/cc202131445>