

References

- Allied Media Conference. (n.d.) *Track or network gathering FAQ!* Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://amc.alliedmedia.org/amc2022-faq-for-proposing-a-track-or-network-gathering>
- Allied Media Projects. (n.d.) *Network principles*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://alliedmedia.org/network-principles>
- And Also Too (n.d.). Retrieved January 10, 2022, from *Who participates? Who is impacted? Who benefits?* <https://www.andalsotoo.net/>
- Anzaldúa, G. (1981). La Prieta. In C. Moraga & G. Anzaldúa (Eds.), *This bridge called my back: Writings by radical women of color* (1st ed., pp. 198-209). Persephone Press.
- Anzaldúa, G. (1987). *Borderlands / La Frontera: The New Mestiza*. Aunt Lute Books.
- Ball, K., & Goodburn, A. M. (2000). Composition Studies and Service Learning: Appealing to Communities? *Composition Studies*, 28(1), 79–94. <https://digital-commons.unl.edu/englishfacpubs/15/>
- Barker, D. (2004). The scholarship of engagement: A taxonomy of five emerging practices. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 9(2), 123–137. <https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/890/889>
- Barnhart, B. (2020, September 22). How to conduct a speedy social media audit. *Sprout Social*. <https://sproutsocial.com/insights/social-media-audit/>
- Bay, J. (2019). Research justice as reciprocity: Homegrown research methodologies. *Community Literacy Journal*, 14(1), 7–25. <https://doi.org/10.25148/CLJ.14.1.009053>
- Benjamin, R. (2019). *Race after technology: Abolitionist tools for the new Jim code*. Polity.
- Bennett, S., & Maton, K. (2010). Beyond the “digital natives” debate: Towards a more nuanced understanding of students’ technology experiences. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 26(5), 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00360.x>
- Bennett, W. L. (Ed.). (2008). *Civic Life Online: Learning How Digital Media Can Engage Youth*. MIT Press.
- Berila, B. (2015). *Integrating mindfulness into anti-oppression pedagogy: Social justice in higher education*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315721033>
- Bernardo, S., & Monberg, T. G. (2019). Resituating reciprocity within longer legacies of colonization: A conversation. *Community Literacy Journal*, 14(1), 83–93. <https://doi.org/10.25148/CLJ.14.1.009058>
- Big Brothers Big Sisters of America. (n.d.) *History that spans more than a century*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://www.bbbs.org/history/>
- BlackSpace. (n.d.). *BlackSpace manifesto*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://www.blackspace.org/manifesto>
- Blouin, D. D., & Perry, E. M. (2009). Whom does service learning really serve? Community-based organizations’ perspectives on service learning. *Teaching Sociology*, 37(2), 120–135. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092055X0903700201>
- Bojar, K. (2016). *Green shoots of democracy in the Philadelphia Democratic Party*. She Writes Press.

- Bortolin, K. (2011). Serving ourselves: How the discourse on community engagement privileges the university over the community. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 18(1), 49–58. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0018.104>
- Boston University Center for Antiracist Research. (n.d.). Be antiracist. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://www.bu.edu/antiracism-center/>
- Boyer, E. L. (1996). The scholarship of engagement. *Journal of Public Service and Outreach*, 1(1), 11–20. <https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/666/666>
- Boyle-Baise, M., & Efiom, P. (1999). *The construction of meaning: Learning from service learning* (ED429923). ERIC. <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED429923.pdf>
- brown, a. m. (2017). *Emergent strategy: Shaping change, shaping worlds*. AK Press.
- Brown, T. (2009). *Change by design: How design thinking transforms organizations and inspires innovation*. Harper Business.
- Brumberger, E. (2013). Teaching visual communication through community-engaged projects. In E. R. Brumberger & K. M. Northcut (Eds.), *Designing texts: Teaching visual communication* (pp. 99–116). Baywood Publishing Company.
- Buchanan, R. (1992). Wicked Problems in Design Thinking. *Design Issues*, 8(2), 5–21. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1511637>
- Burkett, I. (2012). *An introduction to co-design*. Ingrid Burkett Social Innovation. <http://ingridburkett.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Introduction-to-Code-sign-2.pdf>
- Campbell, J. (2017). *Storytelling in the digital age: A guide for nonprofits*. Charity-Channel Press.
- Campus Compact. (n.d.-a). *Equity based service learning*. Retrieved April 26, 2021, from <https://compact.org/resource-posts/equity-based-service-learning/>
- Campus Compact. (n.d.-b) *The Ernest A. Lynton Award for the Scholarship of Engagement*. Retrieved November 28, 2021, from <https://compact.org/impact-awards/ernest-a-lynton-award/>
- Campus Compact. (n.d.-c). *Who we are*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://compact.org/who-we-are/>
- The Center for Digital Humanities at Princeton. (n.d.) *JUST Data Lab*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://cdh.princeton.edu/engage/undergraduates/just-data-lab/>
- Cetina, K. K. (1997). Sociality with objects: Social relations in postsocial knowledge societies. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 14(4), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026327697014004001>
- Cetina, K. K. (2001) Objectual practice. In T. R. Schatzki, K. K., Cetina, & E. von Savigny (Eds.), *The practice turn in contemporary theory* (pp. 175–188). Routledge.
- Cetina, K. K. (2007). Culture in global knowledge societies: Knowledge cultures and epistemic cultures. *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews*, 32(4), 361–375. <https://doi.org/10.1179/030801807X163571>
- Checkoway, B. (2015). Research as community-building: Perspectives on the scholarship of engagement. *Gateways: International Journal of Community Research and Engagement*, 8(1), 139–149. <https://doi.org/10.5130/ijcre.v8i1.4240>

- Chimero, F. (2012). *The shape of design*. <https://shapeofdesignbook.com/>
- Clipperton, J., Franco, J., Nordyke, S., Shaffer-O'Connell, M., & Wood, F. (2020). *SOTL research design for assessment of interventions*. APSA Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.33774/apsa-2020-gwflo>
- Collins, P. H. (1990). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment*. Unwin Hyman.
- Commission on Public Purpose in Higher Education. (n.d.) *Community engagement classification (U.S.)*. Retrieved January 7, 2022. <https://public-purpose.org/initiatives/carnegie-elective-classifications/community-engagement-classification-u-s/>
- Conference on College Composition and Communication. (2016, April). *CCCC Statement on Community-Engaged Projects in Rhetoric and Composition*. <https://cccc.ncte.org/cccc/resources/positions/community-engaged>
- Cooks, L., & Scharrer, E. (2006). Assessing learning in community service learning: A social approach. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 13(1), 44–55. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0013.104>
- Costanza-Chock, S. (2014). *Out of the shadows, into the streets! Transmedia organizing and the immigrant rights movement*. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9780262028202.001.0001>
- Costanza-Chock, S. (2018). Design justice: Towards an intersectional feminist framework for design theory and practice. In C. Storni, K. Leahy, M. McMahon, P. Lloyd, & E. Bohemia (Eds.), *Design as a catalyst for change—DRS International Conference 2018*. DRS Digital Library. <https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2018.679>
- Costanza-Chock, S. (2020). *Design justice*. MIT Press. <https://design-justice.pubpub.org/>
- Crabtree, R. D. (2008). Theoretical foundations for international service-learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 15(1), 18–36. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0015.102>
- Creative Reaction Lab. (n.d.). *Mobilizing tomorrow's leaders to design racial and health equity—today!* Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://www.creativereactionlab.com>
- Creative Reaction Lab. (2018). *Field guide: Equity-centered community design* [Booklet]. <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/ECCDfieldguidedownload>
- Creative Reaction Lab. (2019, September 23). Redesigners for justice: The leaders we need for an equitable future. *Medium*. <https://medium.com/equal-space/re-designers-for-justice-the-leaders-we-need-for-an-equitable-future-d3a73459ba60>
- Cross, N. (1982). Designerly ways of knowing. *Design Studies*, 3(4), 221–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-694X\(82\)90040-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-694X(82)90040-0)
- Cross, N. (2011). *Design Thinking: Understanding How Designers Think and Work*. Berg.
- Cruz, N. I., & Giles, D. E., Jr. (2000). Where's the community in service-learning research? *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, Special*(1), 28–34. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.spec.104>
- Cushman, E. (1996). The rhetorician as an agent of social change. *College Composition and Communication*, 47(1), 7–28. <https://doi.org/10.2307/358271>
- Cushman, E. (2013). Wampum, Sequoyan, and Story: Decolonizing the digital archive. *College English*, 76(2), 115–135. <https://library.ncte.org/journals/ce/issues/v76-2/24269>

- Dadurka, D., & Pigg, S. (2011). Mapping complex terrains: Bridging social media and community literacies. *Community Literacy Journal*, 6(1), Article 3.
- Danley, S., & Christiansen, G. (2019). Conflicting responsibilities: The multi-dimensional ethics of university/community partnerships. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 11(2), Article 3. <https://digitalcommons.northgeorgia.edu/jces/vol11/iss2/3>
- d'Arlach, L., Sánchez, B., & Feuer, R. (2009). Voices from the community: A case for reciprocity in service-learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 16(1), 5–16. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0016.101>
- Davis, K. L., Kliever, B. W., & Nicolaides, A. (2017). Power and reciprocity in partnerships: Deliberative civic engagement and transformative learning in community-engaged scholarship. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 21(1), 30–54. <https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1316>
- Deans, T. (2010). “English Studies and Public Service” in Deans, T., Roswell, B. S., & Wurr, A. J. *Writing and Community Engagement: A Critical Sourcebook* (pp. 97–116). Bedford/St. Martin's.
- Design Justice Network. (n.d.). *Design justice network principles*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://designjustice.org/read-the-principles>
- Design Justice Network. (2016, May 2). Generating shared principles. *Design Justice Network News*. <https://designjustice.org/news-1/2016/05/02/2016-generating-shared-principles>
- Diehl, A., Grabill, J. T., Hart-Davidson, W., & Iyer, V. (2008). Grassroots: Supporting the knowledge work of everyday life. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 17(4), 413–434. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572250802324937>
- Doberneck, D. M., Glass, C. R., & Schweitzer, J. (2010). From rhetoric to reality: A typology of publically engaged scholarship. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 14(4), 5–35. <https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/index.php/jheoe/article/view/794>
- Dostilio, L. D., Brackmann, S. M., Edwards, K. E., Harrison, B., Kliever, B. W., & Clayton, P. H. (2012). Reciprocity: Saying what we mean and meaning what we say. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 19(1), 17–32. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0019.102>
- Driscoll, A. (2008). Carnegie's community-engagement classification: Intentions and insights. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 40(1), 38–41. <https://doi.org/10.3200/CHNG.40.1.38-41>
- Eble, M. F., & Gaillet, L. L. (2004). Educating “community intellectuals”: Rhetoric, moral philosophy, and civic engagement. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 13(3), 341–354. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15427625tcq1303_7
- Engeström, J. (2005, April 13). Why some social network services work and others don't—or: The case for object-centered sociality. *Zengestrom*. <https://www.zengestrom.com/blog/2005/04/why-some-social-network-services-work-and-others-dont-or-the-case-for-object-centered-sociality.html>
- Equity Design Collaborative. (n.d.) *We crafted these definitions to create shared language as we go about building the field*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://www.equitydesigncollaborative.com/language>

- Erickson, T. (2012, April 5). The biggest mistake you (probably) make with teams. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2012/04/the-biggest-mistake-you-probab>
- Escobar, A. (2018). *Designs for the pluriverse: Radical interdependence, autonomy, and the making of worlds*. Duke University Press.
- Fitzgerald, H. E., Bruns, K., Sonka, S. T., Furco, A., & Swanson, L. (2012). The centrality of engagement in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 16(3), 7–28. <https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/949>
- Fitzgerald, H. E., Smith, P., Book, P., & Rodin, K. (2005). *CIC Reports: Resource guide and recommendations for defining and benchmarking engagement DRAFT*. Committee on Institutional Cooperation. https://www.academia.edu/40668805/Resource_Guide_and_Recommendations_for_Defining_and_Benchmarking_Engagement_DRAFT
- Flower, L. (2008). *Community literacy and the rhetoric of public engagement*. Southern Illinois University Press.
- García, R., & Baca, D. (2019) Introduction: Hopes and visions: The possibility of decolonial options. In R. García & D. Baca (Eds.), *Rhetorics elsewhere and otherwise: Contested modernities, decolonial visions* (pp. 1-48). Conference on College Composition and Communication/National Council of Teachers of English.
- Garner, B. A. (2012). *HBR guide to better business writing*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Grabill, J. T. (2007). *Writing community change: Designing technologies for citizen action*. Hampton Press.
- Grabill, J. (2010). Infrastructure outreach and the engaged writing program. In S. K. Rose & I. Weiser (Eds.), *Going public: What writing programs learn from engagement* (pp. 15–28). Utah State University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt4cgp-fh.4>
- Grain, K. M., & Lund, D. E. (2016). The social justice turn: Cultivating “critical hope” in an age of despair. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 23(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mjcsloa.3239521.0023.104>
- Gram, M. (2019). On design thinking. *N+1*. (35). <https://nplusonemag.com/issue-35/reviews/on-design-thinking/>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-442450-0.50025-0>
- Greenwood, D. J. (2008). Theoretical research, applied research, and action research: The deinstitutionalization of activist research. In C. R. Hale (Ed.), *Engaging contradictions: Theory, politics, and methods of activist scholarship* (pp. 319–340). University of California Press. <https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520916173-016>
- Gunatillake, R. (2017). *Modern mindfulness: How to be more relaxed, focused, and kind while living in a fast, digital, always-on world*. St. Martin's Griffin.
- Haas, A. M. (2012). Race, rhetoric, and technology: A case study of decolonial technical communication theory, methodology, and pedagogy. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 26(3), 277–310. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1050651912439539>

- Hanh, T. N. (2008). *The art of power*. HarperOne.
- Harrison, R., Blickem, C., Lamb, J., Kirk, S., & Vassilev, I. (2019). Asset-based community development: Narratives, practice, and conditions of possibility—a qualitative study with community practitioners. *SAGE Open*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018823081>
- Hartman, E. (2015). A strategy for community-driven service-learning and community engagement: Fair trade learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 22(1), 97–100. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0022.113>
- Highlander Research and Education Center. (n.d.-a). *Justice would be George Floyd still being here*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://myemail.constantcontact.com/-The-state-can-t-give-us-transformative-justice----Mariame-Kaba.html?soid=1114995572350&aid=z49xv3eunqg>
- Highlander Research and Education Center. (n.d.-b). *Mission and methodologies*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://highlandercenter.org/our-story/mission/>
- Holland, B. A. (2005) Reflections on community-campus partnerships: What has been learned? What are the next challenges? In P. A. Pasque, R. E. Smerek, B. Dwyer, N. Bowman, & B. L. Mallory (Eds.), *Higher education collaboratives for community engagement and improvement* (pp. 10-17) (ED515231). ERIC. <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED515231.pdf>
- Hyphen-Labs. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <http://www.hyphen-labs.com/about.html>
- Jameson, J. K., Clayton, P. H., & Jaeger, A. J. (2011). Community engaged scholarship through mutually transformative partnerships. In L. M. Harter, J. Hamel-Lambert, & J. Millesen (Eds.), *Participatory partnerships for social action and research* (pp. 259–277). Kendall Hunt.
- Janke, E. (2018). Increased community presence is not a proxy for reciprocity. *EJournal of Public Affairs*, 2(2), 1–23. <https://www.ejournalofpublicaffairs.org/2-2-1-increased-community-presence-is-not-a-proxy-for-reciprocity/>
- Jen, N. (2017, August 11). Natasha Jen: Design thinking is bullsh*t [Video transcript]. 99U. <https://99u.adobe.com/videos/55967/natasha-jen-design-thinking-is-bullshit>
- Jenkins, H., Shresthova, S., Gamber-Thompson, L., Kligler-Vilenchik, N., & Zimmermann, A. M. (2016). *By any media necessary: The new youth activism*. New York University Press. <https://opensquare.nyupress.org/books/9781479829712/read/>
- Jones, N. N. (2016). The technical communicator as advocate: Integrating a social justice approach in technical communication. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 46(3), 342–361. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047281616639472>
- Jones, N. N. (2020). Coalitional learning in the contact zones: Inclusion and narrative inquiry in technical communication and composition studies. *College English*, 82(5), 515–526. <https://library.ncte.org/journals/ce/issues/v82-5/30756>
- Jones, N. N., Moore, K. R., & Walton, R. (2016). Disrupting the past to disrupt the future: An antenarrative of technical communication. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 25(4), 211–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2016.1224655>
- Kimbell, L. (2011). Rethinking design thinking: Part I. *Design and Culture*, 3(3), 285–306. <https://doi.org/10.2752/175470811X13071166525216>

- Kincaid, J. (1978, June 19). Girl. *The New Yorker*. <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/1978/06/26/girl>
- Kissane, R. J., & Gingerich, J. (2004). Do you see what I see? Nonprofit and resident perceptions of urban neighborhood problems. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 33(2), 311–333. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764004263519>
- Kohl-Arenas, E., Alston, K., & Preston, C. (2020) *Leading and learning initiative: Shifting institutional culture to fortify public scholarship*. Imagining America. https://imaginingamerica.org/wp-content/uploads/IA_Network_report_December_2020.pdf
- Kolbert, E. (2017, February 19). Why facts don't change our minds. *The New Yorker*. <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2017/02/27/why-facts-dont-change-our-minds>
- Kretzmann, J. P., & McKnight, J. L. (1993). *Building communities from the inside out: A path toward finding and mobilizing a community's assets*. ACTA Publications. <https://resources.depaul.edu/abcd-institute/publications/Pages/basic-manual.aspx>
- Lambert, J. (2013). *Seven stages: Story and the human experience*. Digital Diner Press.
- Lambert, J. (with Hessler, B.). (2018). *Digital storytelling: Capturing lives, creating community* (5th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351266369>
- Langley, J., Wolstenholme, D., & Cooke, J. (2018). 'Collective making' as knowledge mobilisation: The contribution of participatory design in the co-creation of knowledge in healthcare. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18(1), Article 585. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3397-y>
- Lawson, B. (1980). *How designers think*. Architectural Press.
- Leverenz, C. S. (2014). Design thinking and the wicked problem of teaching writing. *Computers and Composition*, 33, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comp-com.2014.07.001>
- Lewin, K. (1946). Action research and minority problems. *Journal of Social Issues*, 2(4), 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1946.tb02295.x>
- Life After Life. (n.d.). About. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://lifeafterlife.home.blog/about/>
- Lockshin, V. C. (2016). *The storytelling nonprofit: A practical guide to telling stories that raise money and awareness*. Lockshin Consulting.
- Lockwood, T. (Ed.). (2010). *Design thinking: Integrating innovation, customer experience and brand value*. Allworth Press.
- Lohr, J., & Lindenman, H. (2018). Challenging audiences to listen: The performance of self-disclosure in community writing projects. *Community Literacy Journal*, 13(1), 71–86. <https://doi.org/10.25148/CLJ.13.1.009091>
- Mac, T. (2020, June 9). Compassionate action over empathy. *Bah-hum-blog*. <https://tatianamac.com/posts/mistakes>
- Mansfield, H. (2012). *Social media for social good: A how-to guide for nonprofits*. McGraw-Hill.
- Manzini, E. (2015). *Design, when everybody designs: An introduction to design for social innovation* (R. Coad, Trans.). MIT Press.
- Marback, R. (2009). Embracing Wicked Problems: The Turn to Design in Composition Studies. *College Composition and Communication*, 61(2), 397–419. <https://library.ncte.org/journals/CCC/issues/v61-2/9494>

- Mathieu, P. (2005). *Tactics of hope: The public turn in English composition*. Boynton/Cook.
- Mathieu, P. (2014). Excavating indoor voices: Inner rhetoric and the mindful writing teacher. *JAC*, 34(1/2), 173–190.
- McKercher, K. A. (2020). *Beyond sticky notes: Co-design for real: Mindsets, methods and movements*. Beyond Sticky Notes.
- McKim, R. (1972). *Experiences in visual thinking*. Brooks/Cole.
- Mignolo, W. D. (2007). Delinking: The rhetoric of modernity, the logic of coloniality and the grammar of de-coloniality. *Cultural Studies*, 21(2–3), 449–514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09502380601162647>
- Miller, M. (2017, February 16). Want to fight inequality? Forget design thinking. *Fast Company*. <https://www.fastcompany.com/3068235/want-to-fight-inequality-for-get-design-thinking>
- Mission Investors Exchange. (2019, January). *Racial equity: Foundational concepts*. <https://missioninvestors.org/resources/racial-equity-foundational-concepts>
- Mitchell, T. D., Donahue, D. M., & Young-Law, C. (2012). Service learning as a pedagogy of whiteness. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 45(4), 612–629. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10665684.2012.715534>
- National Equity Project. (n.d.). *Creating a world that works for all of us*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://www.nationalequityproject.org/>
- Nelson, C. L. (1991). The National SEED Project. *Educational Leadership*, 49(4), 66–67. <https://www.ascd.org/el/articles/the-national-seed-project>
- Patel, L. (2015). *Decolonizing educational research: From ownership to answerability*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315658551>
- Pearl, D. (2020). Learning is not the destination. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 13(1), Article 2. <https://digitalcommons.northgeorgia.edu/jces/vol13/iss1/2/>
- Phillips, A. A. L. (2018, January 25). “Thoughts from a formerly condemned man-child”: Aaron “Abd’Allah Lateef” Phillips reflects on Miller and Montgomery rulings. Juvenile Law Center. <https://medium.com/@JuvLaw1975/thoughts-from-a-formerly-condemned-man-child-aaron-abdallah-lateef-phillips-reflects-on-eocffcdbe74f>
- Plastrik, P., Taylor, M., & Cleveland, J. (2014). *Connecting to change the world: Harnessing the power of networks for social impact*. Island Press.
- Powell, K. M., & Takayoshi, P. (2003). Accepting roles created for us: The ethics of reciprocity. *College Composition and Communication*, 54(3), 394–422. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3594171>
- Prell, C. L. (2003). Web writing and service learning: A call for training as a final deliverable. In J. A. Inman, C. Reed, & P. Sands (Eds.), *Electronic collaboration in the humanities: Issues and options*. Routledge.
- Purdy, J. P. (2014). What can design thinking offer writing studies? *College Composition and Communication*, 65(4), 612–641.
- Rander, M., & Wellers, D. (n.d.). Digital social objects: The emotional glue of customer experiences. *SAP Insights*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://insights.sap.com/digital-social-objects-the-emotional-glue-of-customer-experiences/>

- Reichelt, L. (2007, March 1). Ambient intimacy. *Disambiguity*. <https://www.disambiguity.com/ambient-intimacy/>
- Reid, D. R. (2015, September 15). Over the rainbow—Why *Looking for Charlie* is black and white. *Race and Resistance in American Popular Culture and Cinema*. <http://www.darrenreidhistory.co.uk/over-the-rainbow-why-looking-for-charlie-is-black-and-white/>
- Research Action Design. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://rad.cat/about/>
- Rheingold, H. (2007). Using participatory media and public voice to encourage civic engagement. In W. Lance Bennett (Ed.), *Civic life online: Learning how digital media can engage youth* (pp. 97–118). MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mit-press/7893.003.0006>
- Rittel, H. W. J., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4(2), 155–169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01405730>
- Rosenstein, J., & De Carlo, K. (n.d.). How to define your team's mission. *Wavelength*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://wavelength.asana.com/how-define-your-company-mission-statement/>
- Saltmarsh, J. A., & Hartley, M. (2012). Introduction. In J.A. Saltmarsh & M. Hartley (Eds.), *“To serve a larger purpose”: Engagement for democracy and the transformation of higher education*. Temple University Press.
- Saltmarsh, J., Hartley, M., & Clayton, P. (2009). *Democratic engagement white paper* [White paper]. New England Resource Center for Higher Education. https://scholarworks.umb.edu/nerche_pubs/45/
- Sanders, B.-N. (2002). From user-centered to participatory design approaches. In J. Frascara (Ed.), *Design and the Social Sciences* (pp. 1–8). CRC Press.
- Sandy, M., & Holland, B. A. (2006). Different worlds and common ground: Community partner perspectives on campus-community partnerships. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 13(1), 30–43. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0013.103>
- Schatzki, T. R. (2001). Introduction. In T. T. Schatzki, K. K. Cetina, & E. von Savigny (Eds.), *The practice turn in contemporary theory*. Routledge.
- Shah, R. W. (2020). *Rewriting partnerships: Community perspectives on community-based learning*. Utah State University Press.
- Shah, R. W., Selting Troester, J. M., Brooke, R., Gatti, L., Thomas, S. L., & Master-son, J. (2018). Fostering eABCD: Asset-based community development in digital service-learning. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 22(2), 189–222. <https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1391>
- Shih, C. (2011). *The Facebook era: Tapping online social networks to market, sell, and innovate* (2nd ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Shumake, J., & Shah, R. W. (2017). Reciprocity and power dynamics: Community members grading students. *Reflections: A Journal of Community-Engaged Writing and Rhetoric*, 17(2), 5–42. <https://reflectionsjournal.net/2019/10/reciprocity-and-power-dynamics-community-members-grading-students-by-jessica-shumake-rachael-wendler-shah/>
- Simon, H. (1981). *The sciences of the artificial* (2nd ed.). MIT Press.

- Sinek, S. (2011). *Start with why: How great leaders inspire everyone to take action*. Portfolio/Penguin.
- Sinek, S. (2017). *Find your why: A practical guide to discovering purpose for you or your team*. Portfolio/Penguin.
- Spelic, S. (2018, January 14). What if? And what's wrong? Design thinking and thinking about design we can't easily see. *Medium*. <https://edifiedlistener.medium.com/what-if-and-whats-wrong-f617ada90216>
- Stratos Innovation Group. (2016, October 15). Co-design: A powerful force for creativity and collaboration. *Medium*. <https://medium.com/@thestratosgroup/co-design-a-powerful-force-for-creativity-and-collaboration-bed1e0f13d46>
- Taggart, A. R. (2007). One or many? Tensions with authorship and evaluation in community engagement writing. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 13(2), 53–65. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0013.205>
- Taufen, A. (2018). Dear prudence: Power, campus-community collaborations, and the elusive space between constructive disruption and neoliberal subcontract. *Conflux*, 12, 1–20. <https://digitalcommons.tacoma.uw.edu/conflux/12/>
- Twitter. (n.d.). *Twitter for good: Using the positive power of Twitter to strengthen our communities*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://about.twitter.com/en/who-we-are/twitter-for-good.html>
- The Elective Classification for Community engagement*. Carnegie Elective Classifications. (2022, January 26). Retrieved January 29, 2022, from <https://carnegieelectiveclassifications.org/the-elective-classification-for-community-engagement-2/>
- The Uniform Project. (n.d.-a). *Year 1 — How it all began*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://theuniformproject.com/our-story/>
- The Uniform Project. (n.d.-b). *Year 2 — 30 day pilots*. Retrieved January 10, 2022, from <https://theuniformproject.com/30-day-pilots/>
- Vernon, A., & Ward, K. (1999). Campus and community partnerships: Assessing impacts and strengthening connections. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 6(1), 30–37. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0006.103>
- Vinsel, L. (2017, December 6). Design thinking is kind of like syphilis—It's contagious and rots your brains. *Medium*. <https://sts-news.medium.com/design-thinking-is-kind-of-like-syphilis-its-contagious-and-rots-your-brains-842edo78af29>
- Walton, R. W., Moore, K. R., & Jones, N. N. (2019). *Technical communication after the social justice turn: Building coalitions for action* (1st ed.). Routledge.
- Weingarten Learning Resources Center. (2017, January 9). Writing strategies: What's your positionality? *The Weingarten Blog*. <https://weingartenlrc.wordpress.com/2017/01/09/research-writing-whats-your-positionality/>
- Wessels, B. (2018). *Communicative civic-ness: Social media and political culture* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315660653>
- Wible, S. (2020). Using design thinking to teach creative problem solving in writing courses. *College Composition and Communication*, 71(3), 399–425. <https://library.ncte.org/journals/CCC/issues/v71-3/30501>
- Yates, J. J., & Accardi, M. (2019). *Field guide for urban university-community partnerships*. University of Virginia Institute for Advanced Studies in Culture. <http://iasculture.org/research/publications/thriving-cities-field-guide>

- Zamenopoulos, T., & Alexiou, K. (2018). *Co-design as collaborative research*. Connected Communities Foundation Series. Bristol University; AHRC Connected Communities Programme. <http://oro.open.ac.uk/58301/>
- Zavala, M. (2016). Decolonial methodologies in education. In M. A. Peters (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Educational Philosophy and Theory* (Living ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-532-7_498-1