### PS 124: International Organizations Review Task

### Trait Identification

Tick the box if the proposal has:

- between 300 and 350 words
- a 2-sentence summary describing the IO
- a sentence identifying a specific problem with how the IO currently operates
- a target policymaker
- a believable explanation for why this policymaker is the right person to receive the memo
- policy recommendations that seem politically realistic
- the most plausible counter-arguments

# Scaled Responses

This proposal is headed in the right direction:

5 stars - nothing to change, proceed as planned

3 stars - could be improved, need to revise certain parts

1 star - significant improvement needed, possibly change topic, definitely go to office hours

Your rating: 公公公公公

## **Contextual Comments**

0

In your first comment, explain your rating. Why did you give the proposal X number of stars? If it needs improvement, how could it be improved?

In your second comment, pretend that you are the person receiving the memo. What questions might you have? What else would you want to know? For example, how feasible are the policy recommendations? What types of implementation challenges might be relevant? How might international politics affect such recommendations?

In a third comment, can you recommend any additional sources or materials that might help this person expand their memo? Or are there other interesting policy ideas that the memo writer might explore?

Add a Comment

### **Final Comments**

(Optional) Share your overall perspective on the draft with the writer. What aspects need the most attention in the next version?

Compose your final comment here ....